

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/067,181		MARTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	R. DAVID RINES		3626	

All Participants:

(1) R. DAVID RINES

(2) Daniel A. Thompson (Reg. #43,189)

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Representative agreed to an amendment to claim 1 to overcome potential problems with patentability under 35 U.S.C. 101 statutory subject matter in consideration of In re. Bilski.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. DAVID RINES/
Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)